

**Review for
“ARID ECOSYSTEMS” Journal**

Manuscript:

Authors:
Registration number

Form for Manuscript Assessment

I. General opinion:

1. The relevance of research
 - relevant
 - irrelevant (explain)
2. Science relevance of research
 - new scientific data
 - new data for Russia
 - new data for the region
 - no new data
 - other (explain)
3. Data presentation
 - satisfying
 - too short
 - excess, contains data that is not related to the main subject
 - bad structure
 - other (explain)
4. Discussion of results
 - satisfying
 - some important points are left out
 - excess, generalization is not justified enough
 - absent

II. Manuscript presentation:

5. Title
 - matches the content
 - does not match the content
6. Russian review
 - depicts the main idea of manuscript
 - excess
 - uninformative
7. English review
 - depicts the main idea of manuscript
 - excess
 - uninformative
 - requires proof-reading
8. Grammar and text stylistics
 - edits are not required
 - minor edits are required
 - major edits are required
9. Figures
 - provide a satisfying illustration to the main text
 - it has to be clarified if the illustrations fit the subject of the manuscript
 - figures No. No. _____ are excess

- not enough figures
- quality of figures No. No. _____ is low

9. Figures, tables

- complement the text, without repeating it
- tables No. No. _____ repeat the text
- structure of tables No. No. _____ should be changed (explain)
- part of the text should be edited as a table (explain)

10. References to the data sources (literature etc.)

- correct and complete
- incomplete or absent (explain)
- does not match the list of literature references

11. Some additions or major re-work is required for the following parts of the manuscript

- introduction
- materials and methods
- results and their discussion
- conclusions

12. Manuscript form

- matches the rules for the authors
- has minor violations of the rules for the authors
- has major violations of the rules for the authors

III. Manuscript Assessment

13. Research

- perfect
- good
- weak
- doesn't match the subjects of the Journal

14. Manuscript

- is recommended for publication in "Arid Ecosystems"
- without changes or *after minor edition*
 - after major edition
 - does not require second assessment
 - requires second assessment
 - requires extra assessment by the specialists on the subject of _____
 - is recommended to be declined

Reviewer wants to remain anonymous

- yes
- no

Comments for the Author

Confidential data for the Editor

Reviewer

Sign

Date